



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/027,142	12/26/2001	Michael M. Arbuckle		4265

7590  
Rick B. Yeager  
10805 Mellow Lane  
Austin, TX 78759

07/12/2005

EXAMINER

AMERSON, LORI BAKER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3764

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/027,142		MICHAEL ARBUCKLE	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	L. Amerson		3764	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 May 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 23-27 and 34-36 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-7, 28 and 29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 3, 8-22 and 30-33 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 5/2/05 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- a. Claims 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Daleabout et al. Daleabout discloses a first and second hinged rail (fig. 1). “Such that the rail may be folded from an extended position into an upright position” has not been given patentable weight because the recitation is purely functional in nature and does not recite any structure. As to claim 29, the recitation has not been given patentable weight because the recitation is purely functional in nature and does not recite any structure. Regarding the hinged position between the rail sections, Daleabout discloses the limitation in col. 6, lines 63-67 thru col. 7, lines 1-6.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

- b. Claims 1-2, 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endelman ('685) in view of Daleabout et al. Endelman

discloses an apparatus having a rectangular frame with a head and foot end, left and right rail with a front and rear section, movable carriage mounted on the frame with a flat upper surface, spaced shoulder pads mounted on the upper surface, a head rest, a plurality of spring members and a foot support assembly (fig. 1). Endelman discloses all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the rails having hinges. Thus Daleabout et al teaches a hinged rail. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Endelman in view of the teaching of Daleabout et al that such hinges provide movement between a folded position and a non-folded position. Regarding the language, "so that its front and rear section may be folded to a substantially vertical position", "such that the carriage may be moved along the left rail and right rail between the head and foot ends" has not been given patentable weight because the recitations are purely functional in nature and do not recite any structure. Regarding the hinged position between the rail sections, Daleabout discloses the limitation in col. 6, lines 63-67 thru col. 7, lines 1-6. As to claim 2, the headrest of Endelman '685 is *adjustable* (col. 5, lines 55-60). Regarding the language, "to a first flat position; a second inclined position and a third inclined position in respect to the carriage mat" has not been given patentable weight because the recitations are purely functional in nature and do not recite any structure. As to claim 4, the foot support of Endelman is *adjustable* (col. 7, lines 60-64; fig. 22). As to claim 5, the headrest (52) of Endelman is

Art Unit: 3764

hinged (col. 5, lines 55-57). As to claim 6, a first and second pole (30) in proximity to the head (fig. 1).

c. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endelman ('685) in view Endelman (PG Pub '227). Endelman '227 teaches a first and second removable pole extension (fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Endelman in view of the teaching of Endelman that such a pole provides an extension to the device.

d. Claims 3 and 8-22 and 30-33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 23-27 and 34-36 are allowed.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L Amerson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5576. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri from 8-5 p.m. Interviews Tue. and Thur..

Art Unit: 3764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'L. Amerson', with a stylized, cursive script.

L. Amerson